

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/846,741	05/01/2001	Jai Rawat	OBON0004	4472
22862 GLENN PATE	7590 06/28/2007 NT GROUP		EXAMINER	
3475 EDISON WAY, SUITE L			SHINGLES, KRISTIE D	
MENLO PARK, CA 94025			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2141	
•		·	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/846,741	RAWAT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kristie D. Shingles	2141				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
· _ ·	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 March 2007.					
,	,—					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application				

DETAILED ACTION

Per Applicant's Request for Continued Examination

Claims 1 and 6 have been amended. Claims 11-35 have been cancelled.

Claims 1-10 are pending.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

I. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 3/20/2007 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

II. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

III. The allowable subject matter of claims 1 and 6, discussed with Atty. Jeff Brill in a telephone interview is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference to *Sheldon et al* (US 6,708,205). Rejections based on the newly cited reference follow.

Application/Control Number: 09/846,741 Page 3

Art Unit: 2141

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

IV. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

- V. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sheldon et al (US 6,708,205).
- a. **Per claim 1**, *Sheldon et al* teach a system for capturing electronic receipts from electronic mail messages comprising:
 - a first computer server configured for receiving a plurality of electronic mail messages and for parsing said electronic mail messages to identify a subset thereof which comprise commercial correspondence having commercial data related to a commercial transaction (col. 19 lines 42-62, col. 20 lines 21-26, col. 21 line 66-col. 22 line 50—parsing email messages to determine which comprise commercial correspondence);
 - wherein said plurality of electronic mail messages comprises electronic mail messages comprising commercial correspondence and electronic mail messages not comprising commercial correspondence (col.4 lines 46-50, col.5 lines 8-48, col.7 lines 7-57); and
 - program code residing on said first computer server for creating receipt data by extracting said commercial data from said subset of electronic mail messages which comprise commercial correspondence (col.24 lines 10-41, col.25 lines 16-25—extracting commercial data for creating receipts and/or reports).
- b. Claim 6 contains limitations that are substantially equivalent to claim 1 and is therefore rejected under the same basis.

Application/Control Number: 09/846,741 Page 4

Art Unit: 2141

c. **Per claim 2,** Sheldon et al teach the system of Claim 1, wherein said first computer server permits display of receipt data (col. 24 lines 14-41).

- d. **Per claim 3**, Sheldon et al teach the system of Claim 1, further comprising a database at said first computer server, wherein said first computer server permits alteration of header data of said electronic mail messages in accordance with data records stored in said database (col. 24 lines 49-54, col. 25 lines 16-38).
- e. Claims 7 and 8 contain limitations that are substantially similar to claim3 and are therefore rejected under the same basis.
- f. Per claim 4, Sheldon et al teach the system of Claim 3, wherein said program code comprises: instructions for storing said receipt data in said database (col.24 lines 28-32, col.25 lines 16-20).
- g. **Per claim 5,** Sheldon et al teach the system of Claim 4, wherein said first computer server permits extraction of said receipt data from said database (col.24 lines 28-32 and 55-65).
- h. **Per claim 9,** Sheldon et al teach the method of Claim 6, wherein said step of extracting further comprises the steps of: querying a database of template data; and comparing each of subset of said electronic mail messages with said template data obtained from said step of querying (col.24 lines 42-65).
- i. **Per claim 10,** Sheldon et al teach the method of Claim 6, further comprising the steps of providing a database for storing receipt data; and storing identified commercial data as receipt data in said database (col.24 lines 28-32, col.25 lines 16-20).

Application/Control Number: 09/846,741

Art Unit: 2141

Conclusion

Page 5

VI. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure: Smith et al (6487540), Rodriguez et al (7158948), Talati et al (5903878), Icho

(20010049628).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Kristie D. Shingles whose telephone number is 571-272-3888.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday 8:00am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Rupal Dharia can be reached on 571-272-3880. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kristie D Shingles Examiner

Art Unit 2141

kds

SUPERVISORY PATENT TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100